

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,259	04/20/2004	Riccardo Lonati	38915/GM/pal 2398	
75	90 07/12/2006		EXAMINER	
MODIANO & ASSOCIATI			UNELUS, ERNEST	
Via Meravigli, 1				
20123 MILANO	Э,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ITALY			2187	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/827,259	LONATI, RICCARDO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ernest Unelus	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/20					
,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 49	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	SUPERV	FRITZ FLEMING ISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 7/7/2006				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	eate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/10/04.	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other::	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

1. The instant application having Application No. 10/827,259 has a total of 6 claims pending in the application; there is 1 independent claim and 5 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

I. INFORMATION CONCERNING OATH/DECLARATION

Oath/Declaration

2. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in 37 C.F.R. 1.63.

II. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT

3. As required by M.P.E.P. 609(C), the applicant's submissions of the Information Disclosure Statement dated September 10, 2004 is acknowledged by the examiner and the cited references have been considered in the examination of the claims now pending. As required by M.P.E.P 609 C(2), a copy of the PTOL-1449 initialed and dated by the examiner is attached to the instant office action.

III. OBJECTIONS TO THE DRAWINGS

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the invention must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 2181

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

IV. REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/827,259

Art Unit: 2181

- 6. <u>Claims 1-6</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fredriksson (US pat. 5,246,039) in view of Davidson et al. (US pat. 5,428,748).
- As per claim 1, Fredriksson discloses a method for numbering peripheral devices 7. (peripheral devices 5,6,7, and 8 in fig. 1) mounted on a knitting machine (knitting machine 1 in fig 1), comprising the steps of: communicating, on the part of each peripheral device, a universal address belonging to said peripheral device and assigned uniquely during production (in col. 5, lines 41-50, Fredriksson discloses communicating, on the part of each peripheral device 5,6,7, and 8 in fig. 1, a universal address belonging to said peripheral devices); transmitting, on the part of said machine, to each peripheral device, an incremental logic address once reception of said universal addresses has ended (see col. 5, lines 44-55); associating, on the part of a user of the machine, an identification number of each peripheral device to be linked to said logic address (see col. 11, lines 54-63, which discloses an identification number of each peripheral device to be linked to devices having a logic address); said automatic numbering of said peripheral devices occurring by communicating with the machine over a serial line (see col. 4, lines 36-36 and col. 5. lines, 43-50, which discloses automatic numbering of the peripheral devices occurring by communicating with the machine over a serial line). Fredriksson fail to specifically discloses the universal address belonging to the peripheral device is assigned during production.

Davidson discloses the universal address belonging to the peripheral device is assigned during production (see col. 5, lines 7-9).

Art Unit: 2181

Fredriksson (US pat. 5,246,039) and Davidson et al. (US pat. 5,428,748) are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of serial communication between a computer and peripheral devices having addresses.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the arrangement for controlling and/or supervising, with the aid of a computerized system, a number of elements/functions forming part of a textile machine, yarn feed element as taught by Fredriksson and a method and apparatus for automatically configuring a peripheral device with a host computer so that a user is not required to identify and set an input/output address for the peripheral as taught by Davidson.

The motivation for doing so would have been because Davidson King teaches that [having the peripherals addresses pre-install during production save a user the time of interring them. see col. 1, lines 8-11].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Davidson et al. (US pat. 5,428,748) with Fredriksson (US pat. 5,246,039) for the benefit of creating a method for numbering peripheral devices mounted on a knitting machine to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1

8. As per claim 2, the combination of Fredriksson with Davidson disclose the method according to claim 1 (see rejection to claim 1 above): "comprising the additional step of verifying, by said machine, that said identification number assigned by the user to a peripheral device has not been already assigned to another peripheral device" [(with respect to this limitation, Davidson discloses "It is a basic requirement in such computer systems that no

Art Unit: 2181

two peripheral devices may be assigned the same I/O address, because that would cause the operation of the two peripheral devices to interfere with each other")

(see col. 1, lines 45-49)]

- 9. As per claim 3, the combination of Fredriksson with Davidson disclose the method according to claim 1 (see rejection to claim 1 above): "comprising the additional step of assigning, by said user, together with said identification number, one or more elements of said machine to said peripheral device" [(with respect to this limitation, see Fredriksson, col. 11, lines 54-63)
- 10. As per <u>claim 4</u>, the combination of Fredriksson with Davidson disclose the method according to claim 1 (see rejection to claim 1 above): "wherein said peripheral device is a thread status sensor" [(with respect to this limitation, see Fredriksson, col. 18, lines 33-36).
- 11. As per <u>claim 5</u>, the combination of Fredriksson with Davidson disclose the method according to claim 1 (see rejection to claim 1 above): "wherein said peripheral device is a thread feeder" [(with respect to this limitation, see Fredriksson, col. 4, line 27).
- 12. As per <u>claim 6</u>, the combination of Fredriksson with Davidson disclose the method according to claim 1 (see rejection to claim 1 above): "wherein said peripheral device is any device that is present on the machine in more than one unit" [(with respect to this limitation, see Fredriksson, fig. 1).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/827,259

Art Unit: 2181

V. RELEVANT ART CITED BY THE EXAMINER

13. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to establish the level of skill in the applicant's art and those arts considered reasonably pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See MPEP 707.05(c).

14. The following reference teaches a method for numbering peripheral devices mounted on a knitting machine.

U.S. PATENT NUMBER

US 5,285,821

US 4,788,835

US 4,899,287

US 2005/0204781

US 6,513,554

US 6,295,481

VI. CLOSING COMMENTS

Conclusion

a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

15. The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by M.P.E.P. 707.07(i):

a(1) CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

16. Per the instant office action, claims 1-6 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,259 Page 8

Art Unit: 2181

b. DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernest Unelus whose telephone number is (571) 272-8596. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

IMPORTANT NOTE

18. If attempts to reach the above noted Examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Fritz M. Fleming, can be reached at the following telephone number: Area Code (571) 272-4145.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 7, 2006

Ernest Unelus Examiner

Art Unit 218th

FRITZ FLEMING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

7/7/2006